

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Economic Development Division

#### memorandum

**TO:** Urbana Public Arts Commission

**FROM:** Christina McClelland, Public Arts Coordinator

**DATE:** March 6, 2013

**SUBJECT: 2013 Urbana Arts Grants Program Award Recommendations** 

#### **Background**

Urbana recognizes the arts as essential to the vitality of the city. In the past, public input has indicated that funding posed the greatest barrier preventing local artists, organizations, and festivals from pursuing their goals in the arts. In response, the Urbana Arts Grants Program was created in 2009 to make funding available to artists, artist teams, organizations, businesses, and festival presenters for projects that enrich the lives of residents and visitors in Urbana. In the program's first four cycles, 87 projects have been funded, awarding over \$180,000 for projects in the arts in Urbana. The following is an overview of the 2013 Urbana Arts Grant program and award recommendations.

#### **Discussion**

The 2013 Urbana Arts Grant Program is following the program timeline outlined below:

October 31, 2012: Guidelines and applications released. January 16, 2013: All applications received by 5:00 PM.

January 2013: Jury groups confirmed. Work samples and review materials

released to jury panels for review.

February 16 & 23, 2013: Jury meetings held to recommend 2013 grantees. February 25, 2013: Urbana Business Association Committee reviews

recommendations, selects "Business Backs the Arts recipients.

February 28, 2013: Urbana Business Association Board approves Business Backs the Arts

recipients.

March 12, 2013: Urbana Public Arts Commission reviews jury recommendations for

Approval.

March 13, 2013: City Staff notifies all applicants of award status.

Mar. 14 - April 1, 2013: Grantees sign grant agreements.

March 28, 2013: 2013 Urbana Arts Grants Announcement Celebration held at Buvons

April 1, 2013: Project period begins.

April 1, 2014: Deadline for completion of grant projects for all categories.

#### **Process**

Thanks to the use of the ReviewRoom system, the 2013 Urbana Arts Grant cycle was first time with an online application option was made available, saving paper and increasing administrative efficiency. Hard copy applications were also available. This method of submission was utilized by fewer than 10 applicants. The online system simplified the submission process for both applicants and staff, allowing applicants to upload work samples directly into the system and submit their application packet without needing to travel to the City Building. Only two issues were encountered that required addressing by staff. The first issue was an inconsistency between the word limit for a supporting document listed in the printed guidelines and on the online system. Applicants were not penalized for using the longer word limit in their application. The second issue was that several applicants working right up to the 5:00 PM deadline were unable to submit their application as the function to submit applications disabled right at 5:00 PM. These applications were accepted via e-mail as the applicants had contacted staff prior to the 5:00 PM deadline about the issue. Overall feedback from applicants on the ReviewRoom system was positive, with 91% of responders to the 2013 Urbana Arts Grants evaluation survey (sent to all 2013 applicants) reporting they found the system easy to use (Exhibit A.) From an administrative perspective, over 40 hours of staff time was saved. For example, staff was able to download a spreadsheet of application information automatically from ReviewRoom rather than creating such a spreadsheet manually.

The guidelines and application form used for the 2013 cycle (Exhibit B) were generally the same as was used in 2012 with a few notable changes. In previous years' guidelines, there was a policy stating that in the 2013 cycle, Urbana Arts Grants recipients who had received awards in two consecutive cycles were would ineligible apply in the subsequent year. Upon review by the Urbana Arts Grants subcommittee, it was decided to not put this rule into effect. However, the guidelines stated that "due to limited funding, continuous funding of ongoing projects is not guaranteed. Grant applications requesting funding for new projects or new additions to ongoing projects may be given priority." Additionally, applicants were able to apply in up to two separate categories per grant cycle, with the exception this year of applying to both the Here and Now and Envision 365 categories. Other eligibility requirements were as in years past: projects must be exhibited or performed within the City of Urbana, with preference to projects taking place within a TIF District; projects must be open and accessible to the public, with projects that charge admission being required to provide at least one event or showing free of charge; and proposals for permanent installations being ineligible for funding.

Proposals were required to address at least two of the following program goals:

- Integrate the arts into the urban environment, creating a sense of place and purpose and promoting tourism and commerce;
- Increase the availability of publicly accessible projects in the arts;
- Encourage emerging artists and art forms;
- Preserve and commemorate local and multicultural traditions and histories;
- Enrich the lives of Urbana residents and visitors;
- Increase opportunities for residents to engage in the arts in its many forms;
- Represent the community in its diversity; and
- Encourage partnerships among artists, performers, businesses, and organizations

The 2013 Urbana Arts Grants program was promoted with a press release sent out to local media, the national Public Art Network listsery, and the Chicago Artist Resource website. Information was distributed through posters and online via the City website, Urbana Public Arts Program Facebook page, Twitter, and e-mail newsletter. A promotional slide ran on UPTV. The News Gazette ran a short article using the information from the distributed press release.

To answer questions and aid potential applicants in the grant process, staff held five Urbana Arts Grants workshops in November and December 2012. Working with the University of Illinois College of Fine and Applied Arts Career Services Coordinator, Michele Plante, staff coordinated two lunchtime presentations on campus that were held on November 6 and 8, 2012. Staff worked with Lisa Costello, Director of Parkland College Gallery, to hold two workshops, one in the evening of November 27, 2012 and one over the noon hour on December 6, 2012. A workshop was also held in the evening of November 29 at the Independent Media Center that was filmed by UPTV. This video was available on demand for anyone to view. Overall, 43 people attended the workshops and the video received 12 views on YouTube (not including views on UPTV or the City website.) Staff also met with many individuals and groups who had questions or requested additional information.

By the January 16, 2013 application deadline, the City had received 58 applications, the second highest in the program's history. One of these applications was disqualified as the applicant submitted an application in both the Here & Now and Envision 365 categories. Therefore, 57 applications requesting a combined total of \$195,094 were reviewed. Attached (Exhibit C) is a spreadsheet showing relevant statistics on the received applications and recommended awards, with statistics from the 2012 cycle included for comparison.

To assemble the selection juries who make these funding recommendations to the Public Arts Commission, staff received suggestions of possible community members with backgrounds in the arts from Michele Plante, Public Arts Commission Chair Pat Sammann, Public Arts Commission member Greg Chew, and Jacqueline Kinsman, Assistant to the Head of the University of Illinois Dance Department. Invited jurors were then confirmed based on their interest and availability. Great effort was put toward assembling juries with diverse representation of arts areas and the community at large.

Applications and digital work samples were available to jurors for review on a data DVD. Some work sample files submitted by applicants were not uploaded due to exceeding size limits outlined. Jurors who had technical issues with the data DVD were provided application materials as hard copies. Overall, the 2013 jurors appreciated using these DVDs rather than the online portal used in years past, as there had been many issues logging into the portal and remaining connected.

The 2013 jury panels were as follows:

#### Here and Now / Envision 365 Jury (met February 23, 2013):

1. John Morrison, Public Arts Commission member, Retired Illini Union Art Director,

- University of Illinois
- 2. Tom Mitchell, Associate Head of Department of Theatre, University of Illinois
- 3. Steven Taylor, Associate Professor, School of Music, University of Illinois
- 4. Kurt Bielema, Graphic Designer, Single Stereo Design
- 5. Linda Lehovec, Associate Professor of Dance, University of Illinois
- 6. Lisa Rosenthal, Associate Professor of Art History, School of Art and Design, University of Illinois

#### Creative Mix / Urbana Festivals Jury(met February 16, 2013):

- 1. Pat Sammann, Chair, Public Arts Commission
- 2. Rachael Dietkus-Miller, Social Worker, Musician
- 3. Bruno Nettl, Professor Emeritus of Ethnomusicology, University of Illinois
- 4. John Tilford, Drama Director, Unity High School
- 5. Maya Bruck, Lead Designer, Pixo, and Board President Emeritus, The Champaign-Urbana Design Organization (CUDO)
- 6. Ryan Groff, Professional Musician, Music Teacher, Recording Engineer

The amount of time required of the jurors to review the applications was significant. Each juror was asked to review nearly 30 applications which involved reading project descriptions, promotion plans, and budgets in addition to listening to or viewing of work samples for each application. As was outlined in the grant guidelines, jurors evaluated the applications using the following criteria:

- Artistic Quality—a determination of whether the applicant possesses the skills, creativity and discipline demanded to successfully complete the proposed project, and whether the proposal has creative merit.
- Project Feasibility—a determination of whether the applicant's proposal provides a clear and appropriate budget, evidence of careful financial planning, and submittal of a realistic plan for implementation, including promotions.
- Community Integration—a determination of whether the applicant's proposal addresses two or more of the following criteria:
  - Art that is integrated into the urban environment, creating a sense of place and purpose
  - Art that preserves and commemorates local and multicultural traditions and histories
  - o Art that enriches the lives of Urbana residents and visitors
  - o Art that is representative of the community in all its diversity

Jury panel meetings were held for several hours on Saturday, February 16, 2013 and Saturday, February 23, 2013. To begin the discussion, each juror compiled a list of the top five proposals in the categories they were reviewing. From the collective list, the proposals were discussed in regards to their artist quality, project feasibility, and community integration. Any juror with a potential conflict of interest with any proposal did not participate in voting and left the room for the discussion of that category. The juries were interested in awarding funding to proposals that represented a broad range of art areas, provided a high degree of impact to the community, and

supported a diverse array of both professional and emerging artists and organizations. Both juries reached consensus on their recommendations for funding. These jurors deserve recognition and thanks for generously donating their time to review proposals and provide the insightful feedback necessary to make recommendations for the 2013 Urbana Arts Grants program.

Attached (Exhibit D) is a spreadsheet of the projects recommended for funding, including the projects selected by the Urbana Business Association as recipients of Business Backs the Arts awards. Since the beginning of the Urbana Arts Grants program in 2009, the Urbana Business Association (UBA) has partnered with the City of Urbana Public Arts Program to support projects in the arts that enhance the business community and have the potential to promote economic development in the City. All applications are considered for this award. The 2013 Business Backs the Arts selections were approved by the Urbana Business Association board during their meeting on February 28, 2013. Additional information on each recommended project is attached as Exhibit E.

#### **Funding**

The program budget described in the FY12-13 Program Plan, which was approved by the City Council on May 7, 2012, is \$47,500. This amount includes funding from the City's Tax Increment Finance Districts and a contribution of \$5,000 from the Urbana Business Association (UBA) for the Business Backs the Arts Award. In 2009, the UBA made a significant donation of \$30,000 to the Public Arts Commission through the Business Backs the Arts Initiative over the course of four fiscal years. In FY 08-09, \$15,000 was allocated to the Urbana Arts Grants program. In FY09-10, FY10-11, and FY11-12, \$5,000 has been donated each year as part of this commitment. These external donations enable the City to provide support for projects outside the Tax Increment Finance (TIF) Districts. The UBA has generously continued this donation of \$5,000 for the 2013 Urbana Arts Grants Cycle.

#### Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Commission review and approve the attached 2013 Urbana Arts Grant Award Recommendations from the selection juries. Because the Urbana City Council approved the budget for the Urbana Arts Grants Program as part of the Urbana Public Arts Program of Work for FY 12-13 on May 7, 2012, and because all projects are temporary installations, the Urbana Public Arts Commission has the authority to approve the project recommendations.

Following the Commission's approval of the attached recommendations, staff will inform each applicant of the status of their application, as well as distribute final report forms and grant agreements for execution with each grant recipient. An Urbana Arts Grants Announcement Celebration will be held on March 28, 2013 from 5:30-7:00 PM at Buvons Wine Bar, located at 203 N. Vine Street in Urbana to spotlight completed 2012 Urbana Arts Grants projects and celebrate 2013 Urbana Arts Grants recipients.

#### Attachments:

Exhibit A: 2013 Urbana Arts Grants Survey

Exhibit B: 2013 Urbana Arts Grant Guidelines and Application

Exhibit C: 2013 Urbana Arts Grant Application Statistics & 2012 Urbana Arts

**Grant Application Statistics** 

2013 Urbana Arts Grant Recommendations Spreadsheet Brief Project Descriptions of Recommended 2013 Urbana Arts Grant Recipients Exhibit D:

Exhibit E:

apply.)	Grants Program? (Check all that	Response	Response
The City of Urbana website		Percent 31.8%	Count 7
E-mail newsletter Facebook		40.9% 18.2%	9
Through friends or colleagues		0.0% <b>68.2</b> %	0 <b>1</b> 5
City publications (newsletter, posters, etc)  Attended Urbana arts grants workshops		18.2% 18.2% Other (please specify)	4 4 3
Showing 3 text responses  previous grants recipient 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses			3
received a grant last year 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  College of Fine and Applied Arts			
2/18/2013 2:13 PM View Responses		answered question	22
2. In what wave do you think the Urbana Ar	te Crante Brogram promotion could b	skipped question	·
In what ways do you think the Urbana Ar improved? (Check all that apply.)	ts Grants Program promotion could b	Response	Response
More posters		Percent 21.1%	Count 4
More information in print publications (ie The News-Gazette, etc)  More information on websites (ie		15.8%	3
SmilePolitely, etc)  More information on social media (Facebook, Twitter, etc)		36.8%	7
More promotion on radio/TV  Provide more information about		36.8% <b>52.6%</b>	7
Showing 4 text responses		Other (please specify)	4
I think you do a good job of promoting the program 2/18/2013 12:22 AM View Responses	1.		
I think it's fine the way it currently is 2/18/2013 11:34 AM View Responses  Social media would draw a younger pool of applic 2/18/2013 11:28 AM View Responses	ants.		
I heard about it several ways so no improvement in 2/18/2013 11:13 AM View Responses	needed for me!		
		answered question	19
In what 2013 Urbana Arts Grant category	/ did you apply?		
		Response Percent	Response Count
Here and Now Envision 365		17.4% 30.4%	7
Creative Mix Urbana Festivals		26.1% 26.1%	6
I did not appy for a grant.		0.0%	23
		answered question skipped question	0
If you attended an Urbana Arts Grants Wapplication process?	orkshop, did you find it helpful in the		
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes No		<b>45.5%</b> 9.1%	10
I did not attend one.	In what ways did you find	45.5%	10
Showing 10 text responses  The workshop I attended was helpful in determin		· –	10
questions about the grant criteria.  2/25/2013 2:19 PM View Responses  As a previous recipient, I already knew what they			
applicants. 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  I learned helpful tips that I otherwise would not he I also learned that I could review previous project		questions to a very infor	med speaker.
2/19/2013 1:51 PM View Responses  My questions about the process were answered 2/18/2013 2:13 PM View Responses			
I saw a video of the workshop online and found it 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses it did not provide information that I couldn't easily			
2/18/2013 1:39 PM View Responses  it was a nice way to hear about the intent of the p 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses			
Gave great insight as to what might and might no 2/18/2013 12:38 AM View Responses  Christina was very informative and answered every		ınk voul	
2/18/2013 11.34 AM View Responses  It demystified the process. I wasn't sure if I was e past grantees could be included in the presentation.	ligible and I was! It was an inspiring worksh		andful of
2/18/2013 11:15 AM View Responses		answered question	22
		skipped question	1
5. If you did not attend an Urbana Arts Gra	nts workshop, please explain why:	Response	Response
Unaware of workshop.		Percent 7.7%	Count 1
Time conflicts.  Did not want to attend.		7.7% 0.0%	0
Did not feel it was necessary to attend.  Not Applicable.		30.8% 53.8%	7
Showing 4 text responses	Other reason or how could workshop time or	location be improved?	4
A colleague attended and passed on the informati 2/20/2013 9:58 AM View Responses  watched on youtube 2/18/2013 8:57 PM View Responses	on to me.		
We have applied in previous years 2/18/2013 1:43 PM View Responses			
Have received two prior grants 2/18/2013 11:28 AM View Responses			13
		answered question	
		answered question skipped question	10
6. How clear did you find the guidelines and a Grants?	pplication process for the Urbana Arts	·	
Grants?  Clear M	pplication process for the Urbana Arts oderately Average Moderately Unclear	skipped question	
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  ear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0)	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22	10 Rating
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  ear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the proc	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.	Rating Count
Clear M cl The process was:  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be seen to be a clear of the process was quite clear of the	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5)  O.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the product of th	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.	Rating Count 23 4
Clear M cl The process was:  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem.	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5)  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the oth	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.	Rating Count 23 4
Clear M  cl  The process was:  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process.	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5)  O.0%  O.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because ecause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in MP3 formater format.	Rating Count  23  4
Clear M  cl  The process was:  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5)  O.0%  O.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because ecause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in MP3 formater format.	Rating Count  23  4
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because ecause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  at I was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in the company of t	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to
Clear M  cl  The process was:  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because ecause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  at I was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in the company of t	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online proces 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because ecause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  at I was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in the company of t	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count  21
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem having to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy  Easy to use  Not easy to use  Not applicable	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process where any comments where	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in the company of t	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem problem. 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online proces 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy  Mot easy to use  Not applicable  Did you encounts  Showing 11 text responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the	oderately Average Moderately Unchear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0)  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because ecause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  at I was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.  was it to use?  ter any problems with the online system or headditional material was required to be a PE additional material was required to be a per additional material was re	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem and hand in a CD be problem. 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online procese 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy  Wot easy to use  Not easy to use  Not applicable  Did you encount Showing 11 text responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Port. 2/24/2013 5:41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward. They was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.  Was it to use?  Was it to use?	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online proces 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy  Wot easy to use  Not applicable  Did you encount  Showing 11 text responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word. 2/24/2013 5:41 PM View Responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward. They was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.  Was it to use?  Was it to use?	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem and hand in a CD b problem. 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy  Mot easy to use  Not easy to use  Not applicable  Did you encount  Showing 11 text responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do an emoth cloud/lease through Adob do everything as a Word document, except the had to do an emoth cloud/lease through Adob do everything as a Word as the process of the had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  work sample uploads part wasnt as clear	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward. They was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.  Was it to use?  Was it to use?	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem aving to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem. 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online procese 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy  Wot applicable  Did you encount Showing 11 text responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word. 2/24/2013 5:41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2/18/2013 8:57 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 5:10 PM View Responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward. They was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.  Was it to use?  They were answered through the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  They were answered through the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  They were answered through the others are an and somehobine our data.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was erformat. However, this was ensured question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been example on the property of the pr	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem view Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  7. If you used the online system, how easy  Post to use  Not applicable  Did you encount of your encount	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0  Please provide any comments on how the process at were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  at I was applying as part of a team and someholine our data.  was it to use?  ter any problems with the online system or he additional material was required to be a PC e to convert one document for the application the easiest online system to use.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was er format. However, this was how there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file I don't have a PD on. It would have been expelying for.	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  Privater, so I easier to just
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. 2/19/2013 2.58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online proces 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2.09 PM View Responses  Format for broblems  View Responses  Did you encount of your encount enco	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the product were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because ecause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward. at I was applying as part of a team and somehobine our data.  Was it to use?  Was it to use?  The easiest online system to use.  The easiest online system to use.  The checking to see if they were actually there actually the actually there actually the actually th	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been explying for.	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/20/13 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem application and hand in a CD by problem. 2/19/20/13 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online proces 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  Not easy to use  Not applicable  Did you encount  Showing 11 text responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word. 2/24/20/13 5:41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/20/13 9:49 AM View Responses  Work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2/18/2013 8:57 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 5:10 PM View Responses  At times, I was slightly confused on uploading ceinformation thecause it included information that words and the problems 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original. 2/18/2013 1:24 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original. 2/18/2013 1:34 AM View Responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process were not clear. They were answered through the earlier of the other of the oth	skipped question  ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22 ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  ee it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was expected to be answered question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been experienced and list them in descending and	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  2  1  2  0  11  2  2
Clear Model  The process was: 78.3% (18)  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to print out the application and hand in a CD by problem.  2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to problem.  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to the problems are a compared to the fact the handle to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word.  2/24/2013 5:41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  Work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2/18/2013 8:57 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 5:10 PM View Responses  At times, I was slightly confused on uploading ceinformation because it included information theta view Responses  At times, I was slightly confused on uploading ceinformation because it included information that view Responses  I found no problems with it.  2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and doubled document looked different than original.  2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  The process of the problematic. 2/18/2013 11:34 AM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to at all the pictures, etc. It had too many small sector at the problematic. 2/18/2013 11:24 EM View Responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process were not clear. They were answered through the earlier of the other of the oth	skipped question  ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22 ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  ee it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was expected to be answered question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been experienced and list them in descending and	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  2  1  2  0  11  2  2
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to print out the application and hand in a CD by problem. 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  Fast recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  Tidd everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word. 2/24/2013 5:41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  Word was ample under the part wasnt as clear 2/18/2013 8:57 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 1:30 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  I found no problems with it. 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  I found no problems with it. 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  I found no problems with it. 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to all the picture, etc. It had too many small section would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have b	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process were not clear. They were answered through the earlier of the other of the oth	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this was own there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been experienced and list them in descending and lis	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  2  1  2  0  11  2  1  2  0  11  2  2
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to print out the application and hand in a CD by problem. 2/19/2013 2:58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  Fast recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to com 2/18/2013 2:09 PM View Responses  Tidd everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word. 2/24/2013 5:41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9:49 AM View Responses  Word was ample under the part wasnt as clear 2/18/2013 8:57 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 1:30 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  I found no problems with it. 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  I found no problems with it. 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  I found no problems with it. 2/18/2013 1:21 PM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to all the picture, etc. It had too many small section would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have been easier to submit it as a single of would have b	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide and the process of the process of the provide and the process of the process of the provide and the provide and the process of the provide and the provide and the process of the provide and t	ear N/A Rating Average  10% 0.0% 1.22  10ess was clear or unclear.  1 personal discussions.  2 personal discussions.  3 personal discussions.  4 personal discussions.  4 personal discussions.  5 personal discussions.  6 personal discussions.  7 personal discussions.  8 personal discussions.  9 p	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11  Private of the example of the
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/20/13 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2.58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online procest 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2.09 PM View Responses  Potential of the companies of the problems of the problems and the problems are the problems and the problems of the problems of the problems.  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/20/13 9.49 AM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/20/13 9.49 AM View Responses  Work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2/18/20/13 5.10 PM View Responses  No problems.  No problems.  2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original.  2/18/2013 11:24 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original.  2/18/2013 11:24 PM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to all the pictures, etc. It had to many it was a single of 2/18/2013 11:28 AM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to all the pictures, etc. It had to many it was all sector would have been easier to submit it as a single of 2/18/2013 11:25 AM View Responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide and the process of the process of the provide and the process of the process of the provide and the provide and the process of the provide and the provide and the process of the provide and t	ear N/A Rating Average  10% 0.0% 1.22  10ess was clear or unclear.  1 personal discussions.  2 personal discussions.  3 personal discussions.  4 personal discussions.  4 personal discussions.  5 personal discussions.  6 personal discussions.  7 personal discussions.  8 personal discussions.  9 p	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11  President of the  at of the  ding order  ell as upload ent and it he work twice.  23  0  Response Count  23  0  11
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/20/13 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to print out the application and hand in a CD be problem.  2/19/2013 2.58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online procest 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2.09 PM View Responses  Potential of the companies of the problems of the problems and the problems are the problems and the problems of the problems of the problems.  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/20/13 9.49 AM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/20/13 9.49 AM View Responses  Work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2/18/20/13 5.10 PM View Responses  No problems.  No problems.  2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original.  2/18/2013 11:24 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original.  2/18/2013 11:24 PM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to all the pictures, etc. It had to many it was a single of 2/18/2013 11:28 AM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to all the pictures, etc. It had to many it was all sector would have been easier to submit it as a single of 2/18/2013 11:25 AM View Responses	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provided and the	ear N/A Rating Average  10% 0.0% 1.22  10ess was clear or unclear.  1 personal discussions.  2 personal discussions.  3 personal discussions.  4 personal discussions.  4 personal discussions.  5 personal discussions.  6 personal discussions.  7 personal discussions.  8 personal discussions.  9 p	Rating Count  23  4  1. I ended up as not a major a clear way to a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  Privalent so I easier to just easier to just  Pratain
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probleming to print out the application and hand in a CD broblem.  2/19/2013 2.58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online procest 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2.09 PM View Responses  T did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word. 2/24/2013 5.41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  Nope It worked well 2/18/2013 5.70 PM View Responses  Nope It worked well 2/18/2013 5.10 PM View Responses  No problems. 2/18/2013 4:21 PM View Responses  It took so much longer than I thought it would to all the publication because upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:28 AM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:25 AM View Responses  Format for music upload was a little problematic. 2/18/2013 1:15 AM View Responses  B. How would you improve the Urbana Arts  Showing 10 text responses  Perhaps provide an example of a winning project all the problematic and the proble	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.0  Please provide any comments on how the process at were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward. at I was applying as part of a team and someholine our data.  Was it to use?  It any problems with the online system or hadditional material was required to be a Pt et oconvert one document for the application the easiest online system to use.  It also it to use they were actually there is checking to see if they were actually there is checking to see if they were actually there is continued to the online application to occurrent. So, the online application made if the system.  Grants application process?	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  Or file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been desired and the properties of the pro	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  Praction of the easier to just  entain  Response Count  10  Response Count  11
Clear M cl  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problemy of print out the application and hand in a CD broblem. 2/19/2013 2.58 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online proces 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2.09 PM View Responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adobt of everything in Word. 2/24/2013 5.41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  Work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2/18/2013 5.10 PM View Responses  Nop et worked well 2/18/2013 5.10 PM View Responses  At times, I was slightly confused on uploading cerinformation because it included information titlet w 2/18/2013 1.30 PM View Responses  At times, I was slightly confused on uploading cerinformation because it included information titlet w 2/18/2013 1.30 PM View Responses  It found no provided of the propose of the propose of the provided of the prov	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.0  Please provide any comments on how the process at were not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward. at I was applying as part of a team and someholine our data.  Was it to use?  It any problems with the online system or hadditional material was required to be a Pt et oconvert one document for the application the easiest online system to use.  It also it to use they were actually there is checking to see if they were actually there is checking to see if they were actually there is continued to the online application to occurrent. So, the online application made if the system.  Grants application process?	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  Or file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been desired and the properties of the pro	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  Response Count  21  2  0  11  Praction of the easier to just  entain  Response Count  10  Response Count  11
Clear M cl	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process there are not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  at I was applying as part of a team and someholine our data.  was it to use?  ter any problems with the online system or he additional material was required to be a PTe to convert one document for the application the easiest online system to use.  the easiest online system to use.  strain't necessary for the categories. Online it is a carried to be a pte to convert one document for the application to comment. So, the online application in made it is to attend to. I had the grant application made it is to attend to. I had the grant application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to the system.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  less was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  le it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was reformat. However, this was reformed question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been designed in the person. It would have been designed application, as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and the person. It would have been designed application as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred into a word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred question  of the personal discussions.	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just  ent and it he work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10
Clear M cl cl The process was: 78.3% (18)  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 220/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probinating to print out the application and hand in a CD by problem. 2/19/2013 2.59 PM View Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/2013 2.09 PM View Responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word. 2/24/2013 5.41 PM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9.49 AM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  No problems. 2/18/2013 4.21 PM View Responses  No problems. 1/18/2013 1.30 PM View Responses  Lidual to problems with it. 2/18/2013 1.30 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original. 2/18/2013 1.34 AM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original. 2/18/2013 1.34 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original. 2/18/2013 1.31 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked different than original. 2/18/2013 1.31 PM View Responses  Uploading documents into the system and double document looked afferent than original. 2/18/2013 1.31 PM View Responses  Uploading a documents into the system and double document looked afferent than original. 2/18/2013 1.31 PM View Responses  It is fine 2/18/2013 1.32	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process there are not clear. They were answered through em figuring out how to submit my music because cause I could not convert the music to the others, but once I did, it was pretty straightforward.  at I was applying as part of a team and someholine our data.  was it to use?  ter any problems with the online system or he additional material was required to be a PTe to convert one document for the application the easiest online system to use.  the easiest online system to use.  strain't necessary for the categories. Online it is a carried to be a pte to convert one document for the application to comment. So, the online application in made it is to attend to. I had the grant application made it is to attend to. I had the grant application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to, the online application made it is to attend to the system.	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  less was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  le it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was reformat. However, this was reformed question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been designed in the person. It would have been designed application, as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and the person. It would have been designed application as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred into a word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred question  of the personal discussions.	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just  ent and it he work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10
Clear M cl cl Cl Clear M cl cl Cl Clear M cl	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.2  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.3  Please provide any comments on how the process at were not clear. They were answered through emilipuring out how to submit my music because accuse I could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the music to the other special could not convert the other special could not convert one document for the application additional material was required to be a PT et al. Was at a convert one document for the application that the easiest online system to use.  The convertion of the categories continuity there is converted to the categories of the converted to the converted to the categories. Online it so that the easiest online system to use.  The convertion of the categories continuity there is converted to the categories of the converted to the categories. Online it so the categories of the categories	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  less was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  le it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was reformat. However, this was reformed question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been designed in the person. It would have been designed application, as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and the person. It would have been designed application as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred into a word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred question  of the personal discussions.	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just  ent and it he work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10
The process was:  The process was:  The process was:  The process was guite clear, but had a bit of a problem to problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was a way to come problem.  The process was quite clear, but had be to be fact the had to do a come month cloud/lease through Adob do everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word.  The process was quite was a way to come problem process.  The process was quite was a way to come problem problem process.  The process was quite was problem proble	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide and the process of the provide and the process of the provide at were not clear. They were answered through the provide at were not clear. They were answered through the provide at the provide and the provide at the provide and the provide at t	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  less was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  le it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was reformat. However, this was reformed question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been designed in the person. It would have been designed application, as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and the person. It would have been designed application as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred into a word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred question  of the personal discussions.	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just  ent and it he work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10
Clear M cl cl Cl Clear M (18) : 18 : 18 : 18 : 18 : 18 : 18 : 18 :	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide and the process of the provide and the process of the provide at were not clear. They were answered through the provide at were not clear. They were answered through the provide at the provide and the provide at the provide and the provide at t	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% (0) 1.22  less was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  le it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was reformat. However, this was reformed question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been designed in the person. It would have been designed application, as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and the person. It would have been designed application as we pred into a Word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred into a word document seem like I had to do the same and distinction as we pred question  of the personal discussions.	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just  ent and it he work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10
The process was:  The process was:  The process was:  The process was guite clear, but had a bit of a problem to problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was a way to come problem.  The process was quite clear, but had be to be fact the had to do a come month cloud/lease through Adob do everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word.  The process was quite was a way to come problem process.  The process was quite was a way to come problem problem process.  The process was quite was problem proble	oderately Average Moderately Unclear unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.1  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide and the process of the provide and the process of the provide at were not clear. They were answered through the provide at were not clear. They were answered through the provide at the provide and the provide at the provide and the provide at t	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% Average 0% 0.0% 1.22  10 personal discussions.  10 personal discussions.  11 personal discussions.  12 personal discussions.  13 personal discussions.  14 personal discussions.  15 personal discussions.  16 personal discussions.  17 personal discussions.  18 personal discussions.  19 personal discussions.  10 personal discussions.  10 personal discussions.  10 personal discussions.  11 personal discussions.  12 personal discussions.  13 personal discussions.  14 personal discussions.  15 personal discussions.  16 personal discussions.  18 personal discussions.  19 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  21 personal discussions.  22 personal discussions.  23 personal discussions.  24 personal discussions.  25 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  27 personal discussions.  28 personal discussions.  29 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  21 personal discussions.  22 personal discussions.  23 personal discussions.  24 personal discussions.  25 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  27 personal discussions.  28 personal discussions.  29 personal discussions.  29 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  21 personal discussions.  21 personal discussions.  22 personal discussions.  22 personal discussions.  23 personal discussions.  24 personal discussions.  25 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  26 personal discussions.  27 personal discussions.  28 personal discussions.  29 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  20 personal discussions.  29 personal discussions.  20 personal disc	Rating Count  23  4  I. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  Privriter, so I easier to just easier to just  at of the ding order ell as upload ent and it ne work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10
The process was:  The process was:  The process was:  The process was guite clear, but had a bit of a problem to problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem.  The process was quite clear, but had a bit of a problem process was a way to come problem.  The process was quite clear, but had be to be fact the had to do a come month cloud/lease through Adob do everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word.  The process was quite was a way to come problem process.  The process was quite was a way to come problem problem process.  The process was quite was problem proble	oderately Average Moderately Unclear Unclear Unclear Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0% (1	ear N/A Rating Average 0% 0.0% 1.22 0% 0.0% 1.22 0% sess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent 1 91.3% 8.7% 0.0% ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been of the properties of the	Rating Count  23  4  1. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  Prain  It of the ding order ell as upload ent and it en work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10  11  12  13
The process was:  The process was:  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2202/2013 9.49 AM Vew Responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2202/2013 9.49 AM Vew Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem or problem.  219/2013 2.58 PM Vew Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 218/2013 4.21 PM Vew Responses  It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 218/2013 4.21 PM Vew Responses  As I recall, the ner was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 218/2013 2.09 PM Vew Responses  The process was quite to the process of the proces	oderately Average Moderately Unclear Unclear Unclear Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0% (1	ear N/A Rating Average 0% 0.0% 1.22 0% 0.0% 1.22 0% sess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent 1 91.3% 8.7% 0.0% ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been of the properties of the	Rating Count  23  4  1. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just easier to just end of the easier to just end of the easier to just end of the end
Clear Model The process was: 78.3% (18)  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 lext responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2020/2013 349 AM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probinary to print out the application and hand in a CD by problem.  21920/313 259 PM View Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a probinary to print out the application and hand in a CD by problem.  21920/313 259 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the had to do a come month oloud/lease through Acob do a cere month oloud/lease through Acob do a cere month oloud/lease through Acob do everything in Word.  2224/2013 5.41 PM View Responses  Not applicable  Did you encour which was a light to budget. It is not 2218/2013 5.41 PM View Responses  Work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2218/2013 5.41 PM View Responses  work sample uploads part wasnt as clear 2218/2013 5.41 PM View Responses  Work and problems with it. 218/2013 1.21 PM View Responses  Uplocation to catacle il included information their was a light year. At times, I was elightly confused on uploading cell information tecatose il included information their was a light year. At times, I was elightly confused on uploading cell information the wasness of the wasnes	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.2  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide and through the provide and through the provide and through its provide and through the provide and the provide and through the provide and through the provide and the provide and the provide and through the provide and through the provide and through the provide and the provide and the provide and the provide and through the provide and t	ear N/A Rating Average  1.22  1.22  1.22  1.22  1.22  1.23  1.24  1.25  1.25  1.25  1.26  1.26  1.27  1.28  1.29  1.39  1.20  1.21  1.21  1.22  1.22  1.23  1.24  1.25  1.25  1.25  1.26  1.27  1.27  1.27  1.28  1.29	Rating Count  23  4  It lended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  Privriter, so I easier to just as and at and work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  13
Clear M cl The process was: 78.3% Showing 4 lext responses There were questions about repeat project funding the 2202013 3.49 AM View Responses The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a proble having to print out the a spliciation and hand in a CD be problem. 2/19/20/31 2.59 PM View Responses It took me a little bit of time to figure the online process 2/18/20/31 2.19 PM View Responses As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to come 2/18/20/31 2.09 PM View Responses  Not easy to use Not easy to use Not easy to use Not easy to use Not applicable  Did you encount of the problems and the company of the properties of the properties of the problems sufficiently of the problems. 2/18/20/31 5.41 PM View Responses Nope it worked well 1/18/20/31 5.41 PM View Responses Nope it worked well 1/18/20/31 3.20 PM View Responses Nope it worked well 1/18/20/31 3.20 PM View Responses Nope it worked well 1/18/20/31 3.20 PM View Responses Nope it worked well 1/18/20/31 3.20 PM View Responses Nope it worked well 1/18/20/31 3.20 PM View Responses 1/18/2	oderately Average Moderately Unclear Unclear Unclear Unclear 21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.27.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0	ear N/A Rating Average  1.22  1.22  1.22  1.22  1.22  1.23  1.24  1.25  1.25  1.25  1.26  1.26  1.27  1.28  1.29  1.39  1.20  1.21  1.21  1.22  1.22  1.23  1.24  1.25  1.25  1.25  1.26  1.27  1.27  1.27  1.28  1.29	Rating Count  23  4  It lended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  Privriter, so I easier to just as and at and work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  13
The process was:  The process was:  The process was:  The process was:  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problemation of the application and hand in a CD bit of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problemation of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problemation of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problemation of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problemation of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problemation of the process was process.  It took me a title bit of time to figure the online process was process.  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact the handle the 2 individual parts. We found a way to compare the process of the process was process.  Tif you used the online system, how easy was process.  Tif you used the online system, how easy was process.  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/lease through Adob do everything in Word.  Zine was process.  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9-49 AM View Responses.  We had problems submitting the budget. It is not 2/20/2013 9-49 AM View Responses.  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 5-10 PM View Responses.  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 1-10 PM View Responses.  At times, I was slightly confused on uploading cerimitorination because it included information that varieties and the process of the proces	oderately Average Moderately Unclear Unclear Unclear Unclear Variation (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (	skipped question  ar N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  se it was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3%  8.7%  0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been of the properties of	Rating Count  23  4  It I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  22  0  11  21  22  0  11  22  0  11  23  0  Response Count  10  Response Count  10  10  13  Response Count  10  10  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  11  11  11  11  12  11  12  11
Clear M. cl.  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 text responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. The process was a process was quite clear. The process was a process was a process. The process was a process wa	oderately Average Moderately Unclear U	skipped question  ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  eit was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was reformed. However, this was reformed to be answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0% ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been and the formater of	Rating Count  23  4  L I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  Fivriter, so I easier to just  at of the ding order ell as upload ent and it ince work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  11  11  11  12  12
Ciear M Cide Ciear M Ciear Ciear M Cide Ciea	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  oderately Average Moderately Unclear  are unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.7  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.0  All vere not clear. They were answered through the processes provide any comments on how the processes I could not convert the music to the other of the data.  The substitution of a team and someholine our data.  Was it to use?  The application of a team and someholine our data.  Was it to use?  The application of a team and someholine our data.  Was it to use?  The application of a team and someholine our data.  Was it to use?  The application of a team and someholine our data.  Was it to use?  The application of a team and someholine our data.  The application of a team and someholine our data.  The application of a team and someholine our data.  The application of a team and someholine our data.  The application of a team and someholine our data.  The application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data.  The application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data.  The application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and the application of a team and someholine our data and	skipped question  Bar N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  0% 0.0% 1.22  Bess was clear or unclear.  I personal discussions.  Be it was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was not in MP3 formater format. However, this was makered question.  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0%  ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been dependent of a word document of the property of	Rating Count  23  4  L I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  Fivriter, so I easier to just  at of the ding order ell as upload ent and it ince work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  10  11  11  11  11  12  12
Clear Medical Clear Medical Clear Medical Clear Medical Clear September 178,3% (18)  The process was: 78,3% (18)  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a proth where the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a proth where the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a proth where the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a proth where the process of the process of the process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a proth where the process of th	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  oderately Average Moderately Unclear  unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.7  Please provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide any comments on how the process of the provide and the provide an	skipped question  ear N/A Rating Average 0% 0.0% 1.22 ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  eit was not in MP3 forma er format. However, this w  ow there didn't seem to be  answered question  skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0% ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been and its them in descenting and list th	Rating Count  23  4  It. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  Privriter, so I easier to just  att of the ding order ell as upload ent and it en work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  10  13  Response Count  10  10  13  11  10  11  10  11  11  11
Clear Medical Cl	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  oderately Average Moderately Unclear  unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  At were not clear. They were answered through the process of the process	ear N/A Rating Average 0% 0.0% 1.22 ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  er tormat. However, this w er tormat. However, this w ow there didn't seem to be answered question skipped question  Response Percent 91.3% 8.7% 0.0% ave any suggestions?  OF file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been of anylying for.  er only to learn the format and list them in descent grant application, as we ped into a Word docum; seem like I had to do the skipped question  skipped question  answered question skipped question  or skipped question  answered question skipped question  answered question  skipped question  or skipped question  answered question  skipped question  or skipped question  answered question  answered question  skipped question  or skipped question  or skipped question  answered question  or skipped question  or skipped question  answered question  skipped question	Rating Count  23  4  1. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just easier to just  Peasier to just  10  Response Count  10  10  13  Response Count  10  11  10  13
Clear Model  The process was: 78.3% (18)  Showing 4 leaf responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2202/0219 49 AM View Responses  There were questions about repeat project funding the 2202/0219 49 AM View Responses  It became a little bit of time to figure the online process of the protect of the problem. 2192/0213 2.59 PM View Responses  As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the fact it makes the process of the properties of	oderately Average Moderately Unci- car unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.1  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0  21.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0  22.7% (5) 0.0% 0.0% (0) 0.0  23. were not clear. They were answered through the moderate of the other of the provide and the	askipped question  Para N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  Pess was clear or unclear.  Personal discussions.  Perso	Rating Count  23  4  1. I ended up as not a major a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  OF writer, so I easier to just easier to just easier to just  Peasier to just  10  Response Count  10  10  13  Response Count  10  11  10  13
Showing 4 tout responses  There were questions about speak project funding th 2202/2013 9.49 AM Vew Responses  There were questions about speak project funding th 2202/2013 9.49 AM Vew Responses  The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem protect of the project of the application and hand in a CD to problem.  2/19/2012 258 PM Vew Responses  As I recal, there was some difficulty due to the fact it like the manner of the project of the pro	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.7  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.7  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.0%  at were not clear. They were answered through the search of	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  Seit was not in MP3 forms er format. However, this was an er format. However, this was answered question skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0% ave any suggestions?  Or file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been describing and list them in descent grant application, as we ped eimt a Word docum seem like I had to do the skipped question  answered question  skipped question  orts grants Program?  Please continue to do so answered question  skipped question  orts grants Program?  Please continue to do so answered question  answered question  skipped question  orts grants Program?	Rating Count  23  4  It I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  11  2  10  At of the ding order ell as upload ent and it he work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  10  13  Response Count  10  11  10  13
Showing 4 leaf responses There were questions about repeat project funding the 2020/2019 49 AM View Responses The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a proble having to print out the application and hand in a CD brooking. The process was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. 2/19/2013 422 PM View Responses The grocess was quite clear, but I had a bit of a problem. 2/19/2013 422 PM View Responses As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the mande the 2 molitically and the mande the 2/19/2013 422 PM View Responses As I recall, there was some difficulty due to the mande the 2/19/2013 422 PM View Responses  Farsy to use  Farsy to use  Not easy to use  Not easy to use  Not easy to use  Not easy to use  Not applicable  Did you encoun  Showing 11 text responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/dises through Adobt doe everything in View Responses  Not everything as a Word document, except the had to do a one month cloud/dises through Adobt doe everything in View Responses  I did everything as a Word document, except the had to ear one month cloud/dises through Adobt doe everything in View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 3-19 PM View Responses  Nope it worked well 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Runding problems will it. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Runding problems will it. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Runding problems will it. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Hooks to much longer than I thought it would to a word of the problem to the problems. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Hooks to much longer than I thought it would to a word of the problems. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Hooks to much longer than I thought it would to a word of the problems. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Hooks to much longer than I thought it would to a word of the problems. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Hooks to much longer than I thought it would to a word of the problems. 2/18/2013 1-19 M View Responses  1 Hooks to much longer than I thoug	oderately Average Moderately Unclear  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.7  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.7  21.7% (5) 0.0% (0) 0.0% (0) 0.0%  at were not clear. They were answered through the search of	ear N/A Rating Average  0% 0.0% 1.22  ess was clear or unclear.  personal discussions.  Seit was not in MP3 forms er format. However, this was an er format. However, this was answered question skipped question  Response Percent  91.3% 8.7% 0.0% ave any suggestions?  Or file. I don't have a PD on. It would have been describing and list them in descent grant application, as we ped eimt a Word docum seem like I had to do the skipped question  answered question  skipped question  orts grants Program?  Please continue to do so answered question  skipped question  orts grants Program?  Please continue to do so answered question  answered question  skipped question  orts grants Program?	Rating Count  23  4  t. I ended up as not a major  a clear way to  23  0  Response Count  21  2  0  11  2  10  11  2  10  Resier to just  at of the  ding order ell as upload ent and it le work twice.  23  0  Response Count  10  10  13  Response Count  10  11  12  10  11  10  11  11  11  11

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES



Economic Development Division Urbana Public Arts Program

# Urbana Arts Grants Program 2013 Guidelines

All materials must be submitted online or received by <u>5:00 pm on January 16, 2013.</u>

Late applications will not be accepted.

The City of Urbana supports opportunities for all residents to engage with the arts in its many forms. The arts are essential to the vitality of the city and enhance the quality of life while encouraging economic development, academic performance, and social tolerance. The Urbana Public Arts Commission, through the Urbana Arts Grants Program, supports projects in the arts that are accessible to the public. This program fosters an environment for established and emerging artists to thrive in Urbana, promotes life-long participation and learning in the arts, and integrates art into the urban environment.

The Urbana Business Association (UBA) has partnered with the Urbana Arts Grant Program to support projects in the arts that enhance the business community and have the potential to promote economic development in the City. Grantees whose projects are supported by the UBA are designated as "Business Backs the Arts" recipients. All applications will be considered for this award.

This program is open to all disciplines in the arts on public display within spaces open to the public, including but not limited to creative writing, dance, film, video, music, theatre, visual arts, crafts, performing arts, spoken word, environmental arts, multimedia arts, architectural arts, landscape architecture, and emerging media.

#### **Program Goals**

- Integrate the arts into the urban environment, creating a sense of place and purpose and promoting tourism and commerce;
- Increase the availability of publicly accessible projects in the arts;
- Encourage emerging artists and art forms;
- Preserve and commemorate local and multicultural traditions and histories;
- Enrich the lives of Urbana residents and visitors;
- Increase opportunities for residents to engage in the arts in its many forms;
- Represent the community in its diversity; and
- Encourage partnerships among artists, performers, businesses, and organizations

#### **CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS CYCLES FOR 2013 URBANA ARTS GRANTS**

#### • Online Application Option

For the 2013 cycle of the Urbana Arts Grants Program, applications will be accepted online OR as hard copies. To access the online application, please visit:

https://urbana.myreviewroom.com. You will be able to fill out the application form and upload your additional materials and work samples through this system. Online applications must be submitted by 5:00 PM CST on January 16, 2013. Contact <a href="mailto:support@myreviewroom.com">support@myreviewroom.com</a> with any technical difficulties related to the online application.

#### Hard Copy Option

If you are not able to complete the application online, you may submit a hard copy. Return one hard copy of the application form and one hard copy each of the required additional materials for the category for which you are applying, as well as a CD or DVD of work samples, to the Public Arts Coordinator, Community Development Services, City of Urbana, 400 S Vine Street, Urbana, IL 61801. For your hard copy application to be accepted you must submit it in a manila envelope that is clearly labeled with your contact information. No hard copy applications will be accepted after 5:00 PM on January 16, 2013.

#### 2013 Eligibility

Previous recipients of Urbana Arts Grants for two consecutive years **ARE** eligible to apply for the 2013 cycle of Urbana Arts Grants. However, due to limited funding, continuous funding of ongoing projects is not guaranteed. Grant applications requesting funding for new projects or new additions to ongoing projects may be given priority.

#### Eligibility

- Projects must be exhibited or performed within the City of Urbana. (Please note that the cities of Champaign and Urbana are separate entities.) Preference may be given to projects that take place within a Tax Increment Financing District (TIF):
   <a href="http://urbanaillinois.us/businesses/business-incentive-programs/tax-increment-financing-district">http://urbanaillinois.us/businesses/business-incentive-programs/tax-increment-financing-district</a>. Projects with activity outside the TIF Districts will be considered.
- Projects must be open and accessible to the public. Projects that charge admission are required to provide at least one event or showing free of charge to the public.
- Permanent installations are not eligible at this time.
- Applicants may apply in up to two separate categories per grant cycle, with the exception of applying to both the Here and Now and Envision 365 categories.

#### **Grant Categories**

- Here and Now: Grants for Artists Residing in Urbana
- Envision 365: Grants for Emerging & Professional Artists
- Creative Mix: Arts Grants for Groups, Troupes & Ensembles
- Urbana Festivals: Grants Supporting Arts Festival Presenters

#### Here and Now

Purpose	Amounts Awarded	Deadline for	Eligibility
		Project Completion	
To provide grants to artists who reside within the Urbana city limits to produce art projects in Urbana	\$500 - \$2,500 awards	Within twelve (12) months of notification of award	<ul> <li>For individual artists     residing in Urbana</li> <li>For individual emerging,     practicing, professional     artists and small artist     teams</li> </ul>

#### **Envision 365**

Purpose	Amounts Awarded	Deadline for	Eligibility
		Project Completion	
To provide grants to artists to produce art projects in Urbana	\$500 - \$2,500 awards	Within twelve (12) months of notification of award	<ul> <li>For individual emerging, practicing, professional artists and small artist teams</li> <li>Need not reside in Urbana</li> </ul>

#### **Creative Mix**

Purpose	Amounts Awarded	Deadline for Project Completion	Eligibility
To provide grants to groups, troupes, ensembles, and organizations to produce art projects in Urbana	\$500 - \$5,000 awards	Within twelve (12) months of notification of award	<ul> <li>For ensembles, collaborations, school groups, non-profit organizations, neighborhood initiatives, or curatorial projects</li> <li>In-kind support OR matching funds required</li> <li>Need not reside in Urbana</li> </ul>

#### **Urbana Festivals Grants**

Purpose	Amounts Awarded	Deadline for	Eligibility
		Project Completion	
To provide grants to festival producers for arts-related festivals in Urbana	\$500 - \$10,000 awards	Within twelve (12) months of notification of award	<ul> <li>For festival presenters</li> <li>50% match through in-kind support or matching funds required</li> <li>Need not reside in Urbana</li> </ul>

Awards are subject to availability of funds. A total of \$47,500 is available for the 2013 Program. The Commission reserves the right to award grant proposals for less than the amount requested.

#### ADDITIONAL MATERIALS REQUIRED CHECKLIST:

To complete the application, prepare the additional materials described for the grant category to which you are applying. If you are completing an online application you may upload these materials online as PDF files. If you are submitting a paper application, type additional materials and submit them as a PDF on a CD or DVD and as a paper copy. Do not exceed word limits.

HERE AND NOW
☐ Artist Bios (150 words)
$\square$ Proof of Urbana Residency: Include <u>ONE</u> copy of one of the following: a) photo ID with
proof of current address, b) current utility bill, or c) signed lease agreement.
<ul> <li>Description of the Proposed Project: Provide a full description, including how the project addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500 words)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance. (300 words)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship with any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)</li> </ul>
$\square$ Work Samples (see work samples description).
☐ Index of Work Samples (see work samples description)

ENVISION 365
Artist Bios (150 words)
Description of the Proposed Project: Provide a full description, including how the project addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500 words)
Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance. (300 words)
Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship with any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)  Work Samples (see work samples description).
Index of Work Samples (see work samples description)
- ···· F···· ( ··· F···· F··· F··
CREATIVE MIX
Overview of Applicant Group: Describe your mission and history. (300 words)  Description of the Proposed Project: Provide a full description, including how the project addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500 words)
Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship with any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)  Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance. (300 words)
Work Samples (see work samples description).
☐ Index of Work Samples (see work samples description)
LIBBANIA EECTIVALC
URBANA FESTIVALS
<ul> <li>Overview of Applicant Group: Describe your mission and history. (300 words)</li> <li>Description of Proposed Festival: Provide a full description, including how the project addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500 words)</li> <li>Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship with any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)</li> <li>Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance and your methodology for calculating that number. (300 words)</li> <li>Work Samples (see work samples description).</li> <li>Index of Work Samples (see work samples description).</li> </ul>
Evidence that you have been in communication with the Public Works Department regarding a Special Event or Parade Permit Application for your project, if required.  Additional information can be found online at: <a href="http://urbanaillinois.us/departments/public-works/special-events">http://urbanaillinois.us/departments/public-works/special-events</a>

WORK SAMPLES DESCRIPTION: Select the medium that best displays you or your organization's experience and qualifications relevant to the success of the proposed project. Upload work samples via the online application (with the exception of video work) or as digital files on a CD or DVD. Clearly label all work sample files in the following way: "lastname\_firstname\_01.jpg", with the last number corresponding to the image number listed on the index of work samples. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL ARTWORK. NO MORE THAN 8 WORK SAMPLES TOTAL IN ANY COMBINATION (IMAGE, AUDIO, VIDEO, MANUSCRIPT) WILL BE REVIEWED.

#### File specifications:

- **A.** Digital images: Each JPEG file should be no larger than 1920 x 1920 pixels at 72 dpi. Do **not** send original artwork.
- **B.** Audio works: Each submitted work should be no longer than 5 minutes in duration. Audio files should be submitted in MP3 file format.
- C. Video works: Each submitted work should be no longer than 5 minutes in duration. Upload video work samples to a site such as YouTube, Vimeo, or a personal website and include links in the Index of Work Samples.
- **D.** Manuscript Samples: Only one 5-page maximum sample will be reviewed for scripts, poetry, prose, etc. Sample must be in PDF format.

**INDEX OF WORK SAMPLES:** Upload a PDF document to the online application that provides a guide to the work samples submitted. If you are not using the online application, include the Index of Work Samples as a hard copy or as a PDF on your CD or DVD or work samples with your application.

- Artist name or organization name
- Title of work or event
- Medium
- Date of work/activity
- Dimensions or duration (if applicable)
- Site (if applicable)
- Link (if using a site such as Youtube or Vimeo to present audio or video samples)

#### **Funding Restrictions**

Grant funds must be used to support project-based efforts. Requests for operating costs will not be considered. Grant funds may NOT be used for the following purposes:

- For the purchase of non-consumable materials (e.g., computers, software, cameras, power tools, instruments)
- For the completion of degree work
- For any event conducted solely or primarily as a fundraiser

#### Copyright Law

No copyrighted musical or visual arts composition shall be performed or played, whether amplified, televised, in the form of a mechanical recording or personal rendition, or otherwise, in connection with any use of City property by a grantee, unless the grantee has first obtained all approvals and paid any license fee or other fee required by the copyright owner. When entering into a Grant Agreement, a grantee indemnifies the City and its officers and employees and agrees to keep them free and harmless from and against any and all liability and responsibility whatsoever for any infringement of and/or other violation of the right of any such copyright owner under any copyright law.

#### **Selection Procedure**

Each year the Urbana Public Arts Commission will form two temporary Selection Panels composed of professionals with experience and knowledge of the City of Urbana and the arts. The Selection

Panels will review eligible applications and recommend select applicants as recipients of the grant award. The recommendations will be reviewed by the Urbana Business Association (UBA), which will select the "Business Backs the Arts" recipients. The Public Arts Commission will review all recommendations and approve the final grant awards.

#### **Evaluation Criteria**

For all grant categories the Selection Panel will consider how effectively each application addresses the following evaluation criteria in three categories:

#### **Artistic Quality**

• Work samples that demonstrate artistic and creative merit, as well as the ability to produce a well-planned, safe event or project

#### **Community Integration**

- Whether the project addresses at least two Program Goals (as listed previously) and meets the purpose of the grant category
- Whether the proposal includes partnering with businesses, organizations or governmental agencies

#### **Project Feasibility**

- The feasibility of the proposed project (based on applicant's experience, and proposal)
- A realistic plan for implementation with clear and specific objectives, including a promotions and marketing plan
- Evidence of careful and realistic financial planning
- (For Creative Mix only) Ability to show matching funds or in-kind support for the project
- (For Urbana Festivals only) Fifty percent (50%) matching funds from non-City sources
- (For Urbana Festivals only) Projected attendance
- Required permits, clearances, insurance and event authorization for special events utilizing city property or public right-of-way. Information on requirements can be found at <a href="http://urbanaillinois.us/departments/public-works/special-events">http://urbanaillinois.us/departments/public-works/special-events</a>.

#### Timeline and Disbursement of Funds

•	January 16, 2013	Deadline of 5:00PM for all applications
•	February 2-23, 2013	Juries review applications and recommend grantees
•	February 2-23, 2013	Urbana Business Association reviews recommendations and selects "Business Backs the Arts" recipients
•	March 12, 2013	Urbana Public Arts Commission reviews recommendations and approves final grantees
•	March 13-15, 2013	City Staff notify all applicants of award status
•	March 18, 2013 - April 1,2013	Grantees sign grant agreements
•	April 1, 2013	Projects begin
•	April 1, 2014	Deadline for completion of grant projects (for all categories)

All projects must be completed within 12 months from the notification of award. For all grants *except* Urbana Festival Grants, half (50%) of the grant will be distributed upon notification of

award and entering into agreement with the City. The following half (50%) will be distributed only after (1) the work is exhibited or performed, (2) a Final Report Form is submitted to the Public Arts Coordinator, and (3) a brief presentation about the project is given to the Urbana Public Arts Commission, either in person, conference call or online video conference call. For Urbana Festival Grants, 100% of the grant will be distributed upon confirmation that applicable event permits, clearances, insurance, and event authorization have been obtained. Urbana Festival grant recipients are also required to submit a Final Report Form and present to the Urbana Public Arts Commission.

#### Final Report Form

The Final Report Form will be provided by the City and will be required for all Grantees.

#### **Acknowledging City Support**

Grant recipients are expected to acknowledge the City's financial support in all appropriate materials and media. The acknowledgement should read, "Supported in part by a City of Urbana Arts Grant," unless the City is part of a list of supporters. In the latter case, the acknowledgement may say simply City of Urbana Public Arts Program. Grantees must display the Urbana Public Arts Program and City logos whenever other sponsor logos are displayed. Additionally, if the grantee is a recipient of the Urbana Business Association "Business Backs the Arts" program, the Grantee must acknowledge both the City and the Urbana Business Association. The acknowledgement should then read, "Supported in part by a City of Urbana Arts Grant and the Urbana Business Association". In this case, whenever sponsor logos are displayed, the Urbana Public Art Program, City, and Urbana Business Association logos must be displayed.

For questions, contact: Christina McClelland

City of Urbana, Community Development Services

400 S. Vine Street, Urbana, IL 61801

Phone: (217) 384-2444 Fax: (217) 384-0200

Email: cmmcclelland@urbanaillinois.us

For technical support with the online application, contact: <a href="mailto:support@myreviewroom.com">support@myreviewroom.com</a>

# URBANA F

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Economic Development Division Urbana Public Arts Commission

# Urbana Arts Grants Program 2013 Application

All materials must be submitted online or received by <u>5:00 pm on January 16, 2012.</u>

Late applications will not be accepted.

Read Program Guidelines BEFORE completing this application form. You may complete this application online at <a href="https://urbana.myreviewroom.com">https://urbana.myreviewroom.com</a>.

Return one hard copy of the application form and one hard copy each of the required additional materials for the category you are applying in, as well as a CD or DVD of work samples, to:  Public Arts Coordinator  Community Development Services, City of Urbana  400 S. Vine Street, Urbana, IL 61801
For your hard copy application to be accepted you MUST submit it in a manila envelope that is clearly labeled with your contact information.
Which grant are you applying for?: Here and NowEnvision 365Creative MixUrbana Festivals
For the Creative Mix and Festivals category, please check the box that describes the annual operating budget for your organization. Round to the nearest \$100: \$0 -\$49,900\$50,000 - \$99,900\$100,000 - \$499,900\$500,000 or above
Have you applied for an Urbana Arts Grant in the past? YesNo
If you received a grant award previously, please mark which year and category:
2009 Here and Now 2009 Envision 365 2009 Creative Mix 2009 Urbana Festivals
2010 Here and Now 2010 Envision 365 2010 Creative Mix 2010 Urbana Festivals
2011 Here and Now 2011 Envision 365 2011 Creative Mix 2011 Urbana Festivals
2012 Here and Now2012 Envision 3652012 Creative Mix2012 Urbana Festivals
Check the one arts area that best describes this application:
Literature/WritingDanceMusicVisual Arts
Architecture / LandscapeFilm/VideoTheatreMultidisciplinary
APPLICANT INFORMATION
Name of Applicant Group, Organization, or Ensemble

Primary Contact and Title	e		
Address	City, State	Zip code	Web Address
Email			Daytime Telephone
PROJECT INFORMATIO	N		
Project Title :			
Brief Description of Proje	ect (25 words max):		
Amount Requested to Su	upport Project:		
Date of Project Completi applicants):	•	•	
promoting tourisn Increase the avail Encourage emergi Preserve and com Enrich the lives of Increase opportur Represent the cor	n and commerce ability of publicly a ing artists and art fo memorate local and f Urbana residents a nities for residents t mmunity in its diver	ccessible projects orms d multicultural tra and visitors o engage in the ar sity	in the arts ditions and histories ts in its many forms inesses, organizations, and agencies
location of displays,	workshops, pe	rformances, in	components of the project (e.g stallations, etc.). Must includ hat Champaign and Urbana a
Location and Address	F	Proposed Use	
Location and Address	F	Proposed Use	
Location and Address	F	Proposed Use	
Location and Address	F	Proposed Use	

Location and Address	Proposed Use	
Schedule of Key Project Activi	ty Dates, including set-up and installation or performan	nce dates:
Activity	Date	
Activity		

**Project Expenditures**. Complete the following table to provide a list of costs involved in the project. (Operating costs and the purchase of non-consumable materials, such as computers, software, cameras, power tools, instruments, etc., will not be considered to be eligible City expenses. These costs can, however, be considered as matching funds or in-kind donations.)

III-KIIIU UUIIALIUIIS.)		•			
A: Personnel (Project Related)	Amount Requested from City	Matching Funds	In-Kind Donations	<u>Total</u>	Expenditure Notes (if applicable)
Artistic					
Administration					
Technical					
Other					
Total Personnel Expenditures					
B: Implementation (Cost of Project)					
Supplies/Materials					
Promotion/Printing					
Postage					
Artistic Services Other Professional Services Space/Equipment Rental					
Travel/Transportation					
Other					
Total Implementation Expenditures					
Total Expenditures (A+B)					

#### ADDITIONAL MATERIALS REQUIRED CHECKLIST:

To complete this application, return one hard copy each of the required additional materials for the category for which you are applying, as well as one hard copy of the application form and a CD or DVD of PDF versions of additional materials and work samples. Do not exceed word limits.

HERE AND NOW
Artist Bios (150 words)
Proof of Urbana Residency: Include ONE copy of one of the following: a) photo ID with
proof of current address, b) current utility bill, or c) signed lease agreement.
Description of the Proposed Project: Provide a full description, including how the
project addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500 words)
☐ Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana
residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance. (300
words)
Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship
with any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)
☐ Work Samples (see work samples description).
☐ Index of Work Samples (see work samples description)
ENVISION 365
Artist Bios (150 words)
Description of the Proposed Project: Provide a full description, including how the
project addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500
words)
Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana
residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance. (300
words)
Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship
with any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)
Work Samples (see work samples description).
☐ Index of Work Samples (see work samples description)
CREATIVE MIX
Overview of Applicant Group: Describe your mission and history. (300 words)
☐ Description of the Proposed Project: Provide a full description, including how the
project addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500
words)
Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship
with any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)
Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana
residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance. (300
words)  Work Samples (see work samples description).
☐ Index of Work Samples (see work samples description)
— mack of work samples (see work samples description)

URBANA FESTIVALS
Overview of Applicant Group: Describe your mission and history. (300 words)
$\square$ Description of Proposed Festival: Provide a full description, including how the project
addresses the Arts Grant Program Goals you identified in the application. (500 words)
Description of Project Partners (if applicable): Describe your history and relationship with
any additional organizations or individuals involved with your project. (300 words)
Promotions and Marketing Plan: Describe how you will promote the event to Urbana
residents, regional and/or national visitors. Include the estimated attendance and your
methodology for calculating that number. (300 words)
☐ Work Samples (see work samples description).
☐ Index of Work Samples (see work samples description).
☐ Evidence that you have been in communication with the Public Works Department
regarding a Special Event or Parade Permit Application for your project, if required.
Additional information can be found online at :
http://urbanaillinois.us/departments/public-works/special-events

WORK SAMPLES DESCRIPTION: Select the medium that best displays you or your organization's experience and qualifications relevant to the success of the proposed project. Upload work samples via the online application (with the exception of video work) or as digital files on a CD or DVD. Clearly label all work samples in the following way: "lastname\_firstname\_01.jpg", with the last number corresponding to the image number listed on the index of work samples. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL ARTWORK. NO MORE THAN 8 WORK SAMPLES TOTAL IN ANY COMBINATION (IMAGE, AUDIO, VIDEO, MANUSCRIPT) WILL BE REVIEWED.

#### File specifications:

- A. Digital images: Each JPEG file should be no larger than 1920 x 1920 pixels at 72 dpi. Do not send original artwork.
- **B.** Audio works: Each submitted work should be no longer than 5 minutes in duration. Audio files should be submitted in MP3 file format.
- C. Video works: Each submitted work should be no longer than 5 minutes in duration. Upload video work samples to a site such as YouTube, Vimeo, or a personal website and include links in the Index of Work Samples.
- D. Manuscript Samples: Only one 5-page maximum sample will be reviewed for scripts, poetry, prose, etc. Sample must be in PDF format.

**INDEX OF WORK SAMPLES:** Upload a PDF document to the online application that provides a guide to the work samples submitted. If you are not using the online application, include the Index of Work Samples as a hard copy or as a PDF on your CD or DVD or work samples with your application.

- Artist name or organization name
- Title of work or event
- Medium
- Date of work/activity
- Dimensions or duration (if applicable)
- Site (if applicable)
- Link (if using a site such as Youtube or Vimeo to present audio or video samples

$\sim$	_	<b>D</b> -	т			۸ ٦	ГΙ.	$\sim$	N.
ι.		к	ıı	ы	IC.	4 I	ш	u	I١

best of my knowledge. I further certify that I have	e completed and attached to this application all
documentation required as part of this application	
Applicant name (print or type)	Date
31 7	
Applicant Signature	

Return one hard copy of the application form and one hard copy of each of the required additional materials for the category you are applying, as well as a CD or DVD of work samples and PDF versions of additional materials. For your hard copy application to be accepted you MUST submit it in a manila envelope that is clearly labeled with your contact information.

DEADLINE: 5:00 PM, January 16, 2013

Send to: Public Arts Coordinator

**Community Development Services** 

City of Urbana 400 S. Vine Street Urbana, IL 61801

2013 Urbana Arts Grants	Categories	# Received	Percentage
	Here & Now	10	18%
	Envision 365	17	30%
	Creative Mix	20	35%
	Festivals	10	18%
Arts Aroos	Music	14	250/
Arts Areas	Music		25%
	Visual Arts	10 15	18% 26%
	Multidisciplinary	6	11%
	Dance		
	Theater	6	11%
	Lit/Writing	1	2%
	Film/Video	1	2%
	Arch/Landscape Arch	4	7%
Total Funding Requested	\$195,095	57	
Previous & New Applicants	Previous Applicants	25	44%
	Previous Recipients	20	35%
	New Applicants	32	56%
	Awards to New Applicants	8	14%
	Awards to Previous Recipients	5	9%
	applying for new project		
Applicants by State	IL	56	98%
Applicants by State	OH	1	2%
	ОП	1	Z70
Applicants by City	Urbana	26	46%
	Champaign	26	46%
	Mahomet	1	2%
	St Joseph	1	2%
	Genoa	1	2%
	Chicago	1	2%
	Out of State	1	2%
<u> </u>			400/
Awards	TOTAL	23	
	Urbana	13	23%
	Champaign	9	16%
	St Joseph	1	2%
Grants working with Schools	Applications working with Urbana schools	5	
	2013 Awards working with Urbana schools	4	7%

Total Applications	# Total	52	Percentage
	Here & Now	11	21%
	Envision 365	13	25%
	Creative Mix	18	35%
	Festivals	10	19%
Arts Areas			
	Music	10	19%
	Visual Arts	6	12%
	Multidisciplinary	24	46%
	Dance	1	2%
	Theater	3	6%
	Lit/Writing	2	4%
	Film/Video	5	10%
	Arch/Landscape Arc	1	2%
<b>Total Funding Request</b>	te \$192,868	52	
Applied Previously		21	40%
Awarded Previously		15	29%
New Applicants		30	58%
Applicants by State			
	IL	51	98%
	IA	1	2%
Applicants by City			
	Urbana	36	69%
	Champaign	15	29%
	Chicago	2	4%
	Out of State	1	2%
Awards	TOTAL	27	
	Urbana	17	63%
	Champaign	10	37%
# awards working		4	15%
directly with schools			

2013 Urbana Arts Grant Recommendations	Duniost Title	Auto Augo:	Annilount Desider	Dunning Court	Amat Bassassis 1	December de d	C
Applicant	Project Title	Arts Area	Applicant Residence	Previous Grants	Amt Requested	Recommended	Sponsor
Here and Now	(D-)M-1:: M-tt(-)	Visual Art		None	ć2 F00 00	64.475.00	6.1
( - , - )			Urbana, IL	None	\$2,500.00		
1 The Show		Multi	Urbana, IL	2012 H&N	\$2,500.00		
1 Brianna Lawrence	Have Book, Will Travel	Visual Art	Urbana, IL	None	\$1,400.00		
1 elizaBeth Simpson	Urbana: Art from the Streets	Multi	Urbana, IL	2009, 2012 H&N	\$2,000.00		
4 TOTAL				TOTAL	\$8,400	\$5,675	
Envison 365							
1 Nathaniel Banks and Friends	Traveling History of Jazz Project	Music	Champaign, IL	None	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00	City
1 Kate Kuper	Simple Machines and M.E. (Movement Exploration)	Dance	Champaign, IL	2009, 2011 E65	\$2,400.00	\$1,175.00	City
1 Rachel Storm	Outta the Mouths of Babes Youth Radio Project	Multi	Urbana, IL	2012 E65	\$2,500.00	\$2,000.00	City/UBA
1 Steve Ingram	Urbana Pinhole Portrait Project	Visual Art	St Joseph, IL	None	\$938.22	\$900.00	City
1 Costas	Costas: Colombian Music in Urbana	Music	Champaign, IL	2012 E365	\$2,500.00	\$2,000.00	City
5 TOTAL				TOTAL	\$10,838	\$8,575	
Creative Mix							
1 Champaign-Urbana Symphony Orchestra	a Champaign-Urbana Symphony Orchestra Youth Concerts	Music	Champaign, IL	2010, 2011, 2012	\$8,400.00	\$1,000.00	City
1 ChampaignUrbanamusic.com	Champaign Urbanamusic.com	Music	Urbana, IL	None	\$5,000.00	\$3,000.00	City
1 Common Ground Food Co-op	Common Ground Art Gallery	Visual Art	Urbana, IL	None	\$5,000.00	\$3,000.00	City/UBA
1 Champaign-Urbana Film Society	CU Film Society PRESENTS	Fim /Video	Champaign, IL	2012 CM	\$4,000.00	\$2,000.00	City
1 The UP Center of Champaign County	LGBTQ Youth Theater Group	Theatre	Urbana, IL	2012 UF	\$7,000.00	\$2,000.00	City
1 Mo' Betta Music After School Academy	Mo'Betta Developing Artist Summer Camp	Music	Champaign, IL	None	\$5,000.00	\$2,000.00	City
1 The World Stage Homeschool Theatre Co	or Shakespeare for Children	Theatre	Urbana, IL	None	\$550.00	\$550.00	City
1 Urbana Pops Orchestra	Urbana Pops Orchestra 2013 Season	Music	Urbana, IL	2010, 2011, 2012	\$5,000.00	\$3,000.00	City
8 TOTAL				TOTAL	\$39,950	\$16,550	
Festivals							
1 40 North   88 West	2013 Annual Boneyard Arts Festival Urbana Day	Multi	Champaign, IL	2009, 2011, 2012	\$5,000.00	\$3,000	City/UBA
1 CU Folk & Roots	CU Folk and Roots Festival 2013	Multi	Champaign, IL	2009, 2010, 2011,	\$7,500.00		-
1 Makerspace Urbana	Maker Faire 2013	Multi	Urbana, IL	2012 UF	\$4,800.00		
1 Read Across America	Read Across America 2014	Literature/Writin	Champaign, IL	2011, 2012 UF	\$3,700.00		
1 Community Center for the Arts	Race Street Bash	Multi	Urbana, IL	2011, 2012 CM	\$8,424.00		City/UBA
1 The UP Center of Champaign County	Turn It UP!	Music	Urbana, IL	2012 UF	\$2,900.00		
6 TOTAL			,	TOTAL			
23				TOTAL			

#### Brief Project Descriptions of Recommended 2013 Urbana Arts Grant Recipients

The following projects have been recommended by the Urbana Arts Grants Juries as recipients of 2013 Urbana Arts Grants. Additionally, the Urbana Business Association has chosen five projects identified below for sponsorship as Business Backs the Arts Recipients. The list below provides information submitted by the applicants and does not obligate either the City of Urbana or the applicant to activities described. Formal agreements between the City and applicants will be pursued upon approval by the Urbana Public Arts Commission. Award notifications are expected to be distributed in mid-March, upon approval of the Urbana Public Arts Commission.

#### Here & Now

- 1. Irenka Carney, (Re)Making Matter(s), City sponsorship of \$1,175
  - A community art class for all skill levels that uses recycled, repurposed, and gathered materials. The class culminates with an exposition of the student's work.
- 2. The Show, ILL POETS SOCIETY vol II: The Aaron Ammons Project, City sponsorship of \$2,000

Produce a spoken word album and coordinate open mic events where artists from Urbana and greater Illinois tackle issues of truth, access and inequity.

3. Brianna Lawrence, Have Book, Will Travel, Urbana Business Association sponsorship of \$1000

I propose to create a cardboard sculpture for the Urbana Free Library children's department for their summer theme, "Have Book, Will Travel."

**4. elizaBeth Simpson, Urbana: Art from the Streets, City sponsorship of \$1500** This project will offer multiple opportunities to participate in a lively, collaborative, creative arts project that will culminate in postcards celebrating Urbana.

#### **Envision 365**

1. Nathaniel Banks and Friends, Traveling History of Jazz Project, City sponsorship of \$2.500

A series of lecture demonstrations about jazz music presented by Nathaniel and Friends, a quartet of local musicians.

2. Kate Kuper, Simple Machines and M.E. (Movement Exploration), City sponsorship of \$1,175

A movement exploration by Yankee Ridge Elementary students culminating in a "living museum" that demonstrates science and dance concepts.

- 3. Outta the Mouths of Babes Youth Radio Project, Urbana Business Association sponsorship of \$1,000 and City sponsorship of \$1,000 for total award of \$2,000
  - A 12-week radio project that invites Urbana-area youth to become "youth correspondents" Champaign.

4. Steve Ingram, Urbana Pinhole Portrait Project, City sponsorship of \$900

□ in a radio show w

Pinhole portraits and stories about Urbana residents.

#### 5. Costas: Colombian Music in Urbana, City sponsorship of \$2,000

Continued workshops and educational performances of traditional Columbian music including professional Columbian musicians.

#### **Creative Mix**

## 1. Champaign-Urbana Symphony Orchestra, Champaign-Urbana Symphony Orchestra Youth Concerts, City sponsorship of \$1,000.

The Champaign-Urbana Symphony Orchestra 2013 Youth Concerts, April 10 and April 11, 2013, at the Krannert Center for the Performing Arts for 3,000 primary students.

#### 2. ChampaignUrbanamusic.com, City sponsorship of \$3,000

Interactive website to archive and share memories of CU's bands and musicians, past and present, across genres; the source for local music news and features.

#### 3. Common Ground Food Co-op, Common Ground Art Gallery, Urbana Business Association sponsorship of \$1,000 and City sponsorship of \$4,000 for total award of \$5,000

An art gallery for local artists and the community in our high trafficked, well-loved local Food Co-op.

#### 4. CU Film Society, CU Film Society PRESENTS, City sponsorship of \$2,000

To promote the art of filmmaking by offering film screenings, public discussions, filmmaking workshops and the creation of a community film project "Pens to Lens."

## 5. The UP Center of Champaign County, LGBTQ Youth Theater Group, City sponsorship of \$2,000

Act UP offers LGBTQ and allied youth the opportunity to create and engage in theater celebrating the diverse experiences of their community.

# 6. Mo'Betta Music After School Academy, Mo' Betta Developing Artists Summer camp, City sponsorship of \$2,000

A half day jazz music camp held for five days during the month of June. The target audience is beginning instrumental music students.

# 7. The World Stage Homeschool Theatre Company, Shakespeare for Children, City sponsorship of \$550

We will present one of Shakespeare's plays in a form designed to delight children of all ages.

#### 8. Urbana Pops Orchestra 2013 Season, City sponsorship of \$3000

Urbana Pops Orchestra will offer two summer concerts of popular and light classical repertoire, one free and one at nominal charge.

#### **Urbana Festivals**

1. 40 North | 88 West 2013 Annual Boneyard Arts Festival Urbana Day, Urbana Business Association sponsorship of \$1,000 and City sponsorship of \$2,000 for total award of \$3,000

Presented annually by 40 North, the Boneyard is a unique 4-day event showcasing the range and diversity of creative activity flourishing in our community.

#### 2. CU Folk & Roots Festival 2013, City sponsorship of \$3,000

A participatory weekend festival in downtown Urbana celebrating folk and roots music, dance, and spoken word via workshops, jams, dances and regional/national folk performances.

#### 3. Maker Faire 2013, City sponsorship of \$2,000

Urbana-Champaign Mini-Maker Faire is a one-day, family-friendly event that celebrates arts, crafts, engineering, music, science, technology projects and the Do-It-Yourself spirit in our community.

- **4.** Parkland College Read Across America 2014, City sponsorship of \$3,700 Professional storytellers will be brought in to enhance the Read Across America event which occurs annually at the Lincoln Square Mall.
- 5. Community Center for the Arts Race Street Bash, Urbana Business Association sponsorship of \$1,000 and City sponsorship of \$2,800 for total award of \$3,800 "Race Street Bash" is an annual public performing arts festival in downtown Urbana, celebrating collaboration and community life.
- 6. The UP Center of Champaign County, Turn it UP!, City sponsorship of \$1,200 The Turn It UP! event is a concert and variety show that showcases local musicians, spoken word artists, comedians, dancers, and other performers.